

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The rejections presented in the Office Action dated November 17, 2006 (hereinafter Office Action) have been considered. Claims 1-11 and 13-52 remain pending in the application. Reconsideration of the pending claims and allowance of the application in view of the present response is respectfully requested.

Claims 12 and 18-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicants thank the Examiner for favorable consideration of these claims. Applicants have amended Claim 1 to include the limitations of Claim 12, Claim 12 has been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer, and Claim 18 has been rewritten in independent form. As a result, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 1-11 and 13-29 are in condition for allowance.

Claims 1, 6-11, 13-15, 22-25, 26-32, 37-44 and 48-51 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Publication No. 2002/0101519 by Myers (hereinafter "*Meyers*"). Claim 35 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Myers*. Claims 2-5, 33-34 and 45-46 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Myers* in view of U.S. Publication No. 2002/0008622 by Weston et al. (hereinafter "*Weston*"). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections. However, Applicants present this response with amendment in order to facilitate prosecution of the application and in a *bona fide* attempt to advance the application to allowance.

Regarding the rejection of Claims 1-11 and 13-29, Applicants refer to the amendments to Claims 1 and 18 discussed above. Regarding the rejections of Claims 30-51, Applicants have amended independent Claims 30, 40, 44, and 48 to indicate that a list of identifiers is transmitted to address of one or more entities associated/registered with the created digital content. Therefore, because Claim 12 is allowable, Claims 30, 40, 44, and 48 as amended are also allowable. Further, dependent Claims 31-39, 41-43, 45-47, and 49-51 depend respectively from Claims 30, 40, 44, and 48. These dependent claims include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and recite additional

features which further distinguish these claims from the cited references. Therefore, dependent Claims 31-39, 41-43, 45-47, and 49-51 are also in condition for allowance.

Regarding Claim 52, the Office Action did not set forth any rejections of this claim, nor was Claim 52 identified as allowable. Applicants respectfully submit that Claim 52 is allowable based on the indications of allowable subject matter in Claims 18-21. Claim 52 sets forth, among other things, a mobile device having means for transferring a request to the network service to obtain the identifiers of one or more first mobile devices, and means for receiving a list of the identifiers of the first mobile devices. According to the Office Action, "Myers does not mention the step of obtaining identifiers comprises the terminal requesting transmission of the identifiers from a network service to a terminal." (Office Action, p. 7). Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request allowance of Claim 52.


Authorization is given to charge Deposit Account No. 50-3581 (NOKM.067PA) any necessary fees for this filing. If the Examiner believes it necessary or helpful, the undersigned attorney of record invites the Examiner to contact the undersigned attorney to discuss any issues related to this case.

Respectfully submitted,

HOLLINGSWORTH & FUNK, LLC  
8009 34<sup>th</sup> Avenue South, Suite 125  
Minneapolis, MN 55425  
952.854.2700

Date: March 15, 2007

By:

  
William B. Ashley  
Reg. No. 51,419